

From: [Kleinmaier, Danielle](#)
To: [Zintek, Lawrence](#)
Subject: RE: PFAS Samples
Date: Friday, April 26, 2019 1:48:00 PM

I wouldn't tell him the specific samples. None of it can be trusted. Instead, maybe tell him we'll have to wait to verify when we re-prepare and reanalyze.

From: Loesel, Matthew
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 1:45 PM
To: Zintek, Lawrence <zintek.lawrence@epa.gov>
Cc: Griffin, Sylvia <griffin.sylvia@epa.gov>; McQuiddy, David <Mcquiddy.David@epa.gov>; Turner, Philip <Turner.Philip@epa.gov>; Rauscher, Jon <Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov>; Corey Bercher <Corey.Bercher@WestonSolutions.com>; Warren, Christy <warren.christy@epa.gov>; Adams, Adam <Adams.Adam@epa.gov>; Patel, Anish <patel.anish@epa.gov>; Jeff.Wright@WestonSolutions.com; Reese, Diane <Reese.Diane@epa.gov>; Kleinmaier, Danielle <kleinmaier.danielle@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: PFAS Samples

Thanks for the update, just to follow up and make sure we are not having issues here: Can you tell us what samples had the high readings what is the results for ITC-BB-09-20190425-15.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 26, 2019, at 12:53 PM, Zintek, Lawrence <zintek.lawrence@epa.gov> wrote:

All,

The samples we received yesterday were analyzed and upon reviewing the data it was observed that we have PFAS contamination for some analytes in the method blanks and sporadic high hits in a very few of the samples. We have to determine where this is coming from before we analyze any more samples. Could be from tubes, vials, pipette tips.....Who knows? This stuff is everywhere.

We will keep you posted.

Sorry,

Larry